## Linear Horistologies

Trandafir BĂLAN and Dana CONSTANTINESCU\*

## Abstract

The aim of the work is to investigate the relations between linearity and horistology in order to generalize the linear spaces endowed with super-additive norms, like the Minkowskian space-times.

AMS classification: 46C20, 06F20, 06A11, 83A05

Key words: linear horistology, discrete operations, space-time

**Introduction.** According to our previous paper [1], a **horistology** on the non-void set W (also called *world* if inspired by Relativity) is a function

$$\chi:W\to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(W))$$

for which:

 $[h_1]$   $x \notin P$  for all  $x \in W$  and  $P \in \chi(x)$ ;

 $[h_2] P \in \chi(x), Q \subseteq P \Longrightarrow Q \in \chi(x);$ 

 $[h_3]$   $P, Q \in \chi(x) \Longrightarrow P \cup Q \in \chi(x);$ 

 $[h_4] \ \forall P \in \chi(x), \exists Q \in \chi(x) \text{ such that } [y \in P \text{ and } R \in \chi(y)] \Longrightarrow [R \subseteq Q] \,.$ 

The pair  $(W, \chi)$  represents a horistological world (or space, briefly h.w.). The elements of  $\chi(x)$  are called perspectives of x. Obviously,  $\chi(x)$  is an ideal of subsets of W. It is easy to prove that if  $(W, \chi)$  is a h.w., then

$$K(\chi) = \{(x,y) : \{y\} \in \chi(x)\} \cup \delta$$

is an order on W (called causality in space-time).

The most important examples of h.w., including the Minkowskian spacetime, are linear spaces endowed with super-additive norms. Such a norm (also called *timer* when it measures time) is a functional

$$p: K[0] \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

<sup>\*</sup>University of Craiova, Romania

for which:

 $[t_1]$  p(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0

 $[t_2]$   $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $x \in K[0]$ 

 $[t_3]$   $p(x+y) \ge p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in K[0]$ ,

where K is a linear order on the real linear space W.

In this case, for each  $x \in W$ ,  $\chi(x)$  is defined by the ideal base

$$\beta(x) = \{ P \subset W : \exists r > 0 \text{ such that } P \subseteq H(x, r) \}$$

where

$$H(x,r) = \{ y \in W : p(y-x) > r \}$$

It is also remarkable that  $K = K(\chi)$ .

By analogy with the classical theory of the linear topological spaces (see [2]), where the operations are continuous functions, for the horistological worlds we will naturally ask these operations to be discrete (in the sense of [1]). In order to explain this condition, we recall that a function  $f: W \to V$ , where  $(W, \chi)$  and  $(V, \psi)$  are h.w., is said to be discrete at x if

$$f(\chi(x)) \subseteq \psi(f(x)).$$

In addition, because the operations of a linear space are defined on Cartesian products, we mention that if  $(W_1, \chi_1)$  and  $(W_2, \chi_2)$  are horistological worlds, and  $W = W_1 \times W_2$ , then the *product* horistology on W is defined by

$$\gamma(x_1, x_2) = \{ P_1 \times P_2 : P_i \in \chi_i(x_i), i = 1, 2 \},\$$

which represents its ideal base.

Because the h.w. are essentially ordered sets, we deal only with real linear spaces. In particular, the *standard* horistology of  $\mathbb{R}$  is generated by the super-additive norm p(x) = x, defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , i.e. on the cone of the usual order of  $\mathbb{R}$ . This horistology will be noted  $\sigma$ .

**Example 1** Let W be a real linear space, K be a linear order on W, and let  $p: K[0] \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a super-additive norm. If  $\chi$  denotes the horistology generated by p, then the operations of W are discrete functions relative to  $\chi$ . More precisely, for every  $x_1, x_2 \in W$ ,  $P_1 \in \chi(x_1)$  and  $P_2 \in \chi(x_2)$ , we have  $P_1 + P_2 \in \chi(x_1 + x_2)$ . In fact, by hypothesis there exist  $r_1, r_2 > 0$  such that  $P_i \subseteq H(x_i, r_i)$ , i = 1, 2, hence for every  $y_i \in P_i$ , i = 1, 2, in accordance with  $[t_3]$ , we have

$$p(y_1 + y_2 - (x_1 + x_2)) > r_1 + r_2.$$

Similarly, the product with real numbers is a discrete function on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times K[0]$ , as a consequence of  $[t_2]$ . In fact, if we consider  $P \in \sigma(\lambda)$ , and  $Q \in \chi(x)$ , this means that  $P \subseteq H_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda, \varepsilon)$  and  $Q \subseteq H_W(x, r)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and r > 0. If  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $(0, x) \in K$ ,  $\mu > \varepsilon + \lambda$ , and p(y - x) > r, then it follows that

$$p(\mu y - \lambda x) \ge \mu p(y - x) + (\mu - \lambda)p(x) > \varepsilon r$$

hence  $PQ \subseteq H_W(\lambda x, \varepsilon r)$ , and finally  $PQ \in \chi(\lambda x)$ .

This example contains many particular cases, namely the Minkowskian space-time, the event spaces, as well as  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $C_{\mathbb{R}}([a,b])$ , etc. In all these spaces, besides addition and multiplication, we may similarly see that translation, dilation and embedding are discrete functions too.

**Definition 2** Let W be a real linear space, and let  $\chi$  be a horistology on W. We say that  $\chi$  is a **linear** horistology (**compatible** with linearity, etc.) if:

(i) For every  $x \in W$ , the translation  $T_x : W \to W$ , defined by

$$T_x(y) = x + y,$$

is discrete on W.

(ii) The addition  $A: W \times W \to W$ , defined by

$$A(x,y) = x + y,$$

is discrete at (0,0).

(iii) For every  $\lambda > 0$ , the dilation  $D_{\lambda} : W \to W$ , of values

$$D_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda x,$$

is discrete at 0.

(iv) For all  $x \in K[0]$ , the embedding of the real line  $E_x : \mathbb{R} \to W$ ,

$$E_x(\lambda) = \lambda x,$$

is discrete at 0.

(v) The multiplication  $M: \mathbb{R} \times W \to W$ , defined by

$$M(\lambda, x) = \lambda x$$

is discrete at (0,0).

In this case, the pair  $(W, \chi)$  will be called *linear horistological world* (briefly l.h.w.).

**Proposition 3** In every l.h.w. we have:

- a) The addition is discrete on  $W \times W$ .
- b) The multiplication is discrete on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times K[0]$ .

**Proof.** a) From (i) we deduce that for all  $P \in \chi(0)$  and  $x \in W$  we have  $x + P \in \chi(x)$ . Because  $T_x^{-1} = T_{-x}$  is also discrete on W, and particularly at x, we obtain

$$\chi(x) = x + \chi(0). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, the discreteness of A at (0,0) means that  $P+Q \in \chi(0)$  whenever  $P,Q \in \chi(0)$ . Now, in order to prove the assertion a), it is enough to remark that for all  $x,y \in W$ ,  $P \in \chi(x)$ , and  $Q \in \chi(y)$ , the identity

$$P + Q = T_{x+y}[A(T_{-x}(P), T_{-y}(Q))]$$

holds, hence P + Q is a perspective of x + y.

b) Based on the relation

$$\mu y - \lambda x = (\mu - \lambda)(y - x) + \lambda(y - x) + (\mu - \lambda)x,$$

we deduce that for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $x \in K[0]$ ,  $P \in \chi(0)$ , and  $Q \in \sigma(\lambda)$ , we have

$$QP \subseteq T_{\lambda x} \{ A \langle A[D_{\lambda}(T_{-x}(P)), E_{x}(Q-\lambda)], M(Q-\lambda, T_{-x}(P)) \rangle \}.$$

According to the hypothesis (i) - (v), all the involved functions are discrete, hence  $QP \in \chi(\lambda x)$ .

Corollary 4 If  $(W, \chi)$  is a l.h.w., then:

- a) The horistology  $\chi$  is uniform.
- b) The order  $K(\chi)$  is linear.
- c) If  $(V, \psi)$  is another l.h.w., then a linear operator  $U : W \to V$  is discrete on W if and only if it is discrete at 0.

**Proof.** a) To each perspective  $P \in \chi(0)$  we attach the prospect

$$\pi_P = \{(x, y) : y \in x + P\}.$$

It is easy to see that the family of all such prospects represents an ideal base for a uniform horistology on W, in the sense of [1].

b) Because of (1), for any  $x \in W$  we also have

$$K[x] = x + K[0],$$

i.e. K is invariant under translations. Using (iii). we similarly see that  $(x, y) \in K$  implies  $(\lambda x, \lambda y) \in K$ , whenever  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

c) Since U is linear, for every  $x \in W$  we have

$$U = T_x \circ U \circ T_{-x},$$

which reduces the discreteness of U at x to its discreteness at 0.

In the rest of the paper we will analyze some specific properties of the perspectives  $P \in \chi(0)$  in a l.h.w.

**Definition 5** Let W be a real linear space. We say that the set  $A \subseteq W$  is **extensive** if  $\alpha A \subseteq A$  whenever  $\alpha \geq 1$ . For an arbitrary subset X of W we define the **extension** e(X) by the formula

$$e(X) = \cup \{\alpha X : \alpha \ge 1\}.$$

Relative to the extension operator  $e: \mathcal{P}(W) \to \mathcal{P}(W)$  we mention the following properties:

**Proposition 6** If W is a real linear space, then:

- 1) For every  $X \subseteq W$ , e(X) is extensive.
- 2) A is extensive if and only if A = e(A).
- 3)  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $e(A) \subseteq e(B)$
- 4)  $e(A \cup B) = e(A) \cup e(B)$  for all  $A, B \subseteq W$ .
- 5)  $e(A \cap B) \subseteq e(A) \cap e(B)$  for all  $A, B \subseteq W$ .

The proof is routine and will be omitted.

**Lemma 7** If  $(W, \chi)$  is a l.h.w., then  $\chi(0)$  admits an ideal base consisting of extensive prospects.

**Proof.** Because  $X \subseteq e(X)$  for all  $X \subseteq W$ , it is sufficient to show that  $e(P) \in \chi(0)$  holds for all  $P \in \chi(0)$ . In fact, using the discreteness of the multiplication at (0,0), if we note

$$Q = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha \ge 1 \},$$

we obtain  $e(P) = M(Q, P) \in \chi(0)$ .

**Remark 8** The proof of the above Lemma may also be based on the relation between e(P) and K[P], where  $K = K(\chi)$ , and  $P \in \chi(0)$ . More precisely, we have:

- a) For all  $P \in \chi(0)$ , the set K[P] is extensive.
- b) For every  $P \in \chi(0)$ , the inclusion  $e(P) \subseteq K[P]$  holds.

In fact, Lemma 7 follows by combining these properties with the general fact that

$$\{K[P]: P \in \chi(x)\}$$

is an ideal base of  $\chi(x)$ , where  $x \in W$  is arbitrary (see also [1]).

In order to prove a), we recall that

$$K[P] = \{ y \in W : (x, y) \in K \text{ for some } x \in P \}.$$

If  $z \in e(K[P])$ , then there exist  $y \in K[P]$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$  such that  $z = \alpha y$ . But in this case  $(y, \alpha y) \in K$  too, hence using the membership  $(x, y) \in K$  for some  $x \in P$ , we deduce that  $(x, z) \in K$ . Consequently,  $z \in K[P]$ . This proves that  $e(K[P]) \subseteq K[P]$ , i.e. K[P] is extensive.

The second property follows from the former one, and from the monotony of e, since  $P \subseteq K[P]$  implies

$$e(P) \subseteq e(K[P]) = K[P].$$

**Theorem 9** If  $(W, \chi)$  is a l.h.w., then there exists a family  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \chi(0)$  such that:

 $[lh_1] \ 0 \notin \overline{P} \ whenever \overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}.$ 

 $[lh_2]$  For every  $\overline{P}, \overline{Q} \in \mathcal{B}$  there is  $\overline{L} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\overline{P} \cup \overline{Q} \subseteq \overline{L}$ .

[ $lh_3$ ] Every  $\overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}$  is extensive.

[ $lh_4$ ] For every  $\overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}$  there is  $\overline{S} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that for all  $\overline{Q} \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

$$\overline{P}+\overline{Q}\subseteq \overline{S}.$$

[ $lh_5$ ] For every  $\overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\lambda > 0$  there exists  $\overline{Q} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\lambda \overline{P} \subseteq \overline{Q}.$$

**Proof.** We will show that the family

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \bar{P} \stackrel{not.}{=} K[P] : P \in \chi(0) \}$$

satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Thus, in order to prove  $[lh_1]$ , it is sufficient to see that  $0 \notin \overline{P}$  because  $0 \notin P$  for all  $P \in \chi(0)$ . Farther, if  $\overline{P} = K[P]$  and  $\overline{Q} = K[Q]$ , we have  $L = P \cup Q \in \chi(0)$ , hence  $\overline{L} = K[L]$  is the perspective which satisfies  $[lh_2]$ . Condition  $[lh_3]$  is assured by Lemma 7, with the completion mentioned in Remark 8.

Because  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \chi(0)$ , and the translation is discrete, we have

$$\overline{P} + \overline{Q} = \cup \{y + \overline{Q} \in \chi(y) : y \in \overline{P}\}.$$

By  $[h_4]$  there will exist  $S \in \chi(0)$  such that  $\overline{P} + \overline{Q} \subseteq S$ . The fourth condition is verified for  $\overline{S} = K[S]$ .

The last property is a consequence of the discreteness of dilation  $D_{\lambda}$  at 0. In fact, for each  $\overline{P} \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \chi(0)$  we can find  $Q \in \chi(0)$  such that  $\lambda \overline{P} \subseteq Q$ . Then we consider  $\overline{Q} = K[Q] \in \mathcal{B}$ , so that  $\lambda \overline{P} \subseteq \overline{Q}$ .

**Theorem 10** Let W be a real linear space and let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(W)$  be such that the conditions  $[lh_1] - [lh_5]$  from the above Theorem are fulfilled. If we note

$$\chi(0) = \{ P \subset W : P \subseteq \overline{P} \text{ for some } \overline{P} \in \mathcal{B} \}, \text{ and }$$

$$\chi(x) = x + \chi(0)$$
 for all  $x \in W$ ,

then  $\chi$  is a linear horistology on W.

**Proof.** Obviously,  $\chi(0)$  verifies  $[h_2]$  and  $[h_3]$ , being the ideal generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is also easily seen that  $[h_1]$  is assured by  $[lh_1]$ .

In order to prove  $[h_4]$ , we may remark that if we take  $y \in P \subseteq \overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $Q \in \chi(y) = y + \chi(0)$ , then  $\{y\} \in \chi(0)$ , and  $Q = y + \widetilde{Q}$  for some  $\widetilde{Q} \in \chi(0)$ . According to  $[lh_4]$ , there exists  $\overline{S} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$Q = y + \widetilde{Q} \subseteq \overline{S}.$$

Consequently,  $\chi$  is a horistology on W. As usually, we note

$$K = K(\chi) = \{(x, y) \in W^2 : \exists \overline{P} \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } y \in x + \overline{P}\} \cup \delta.$$

Now, let us prove that  $\chi$  is linear, i.e. the conditions in Definition 2 are fulfilled. In fact, condition (i) is contained in the construction of  $\chi(x)$ . The discreteness at (0,0) of the addition A follows from  $[lh_4]$ . Similarly, the discreteness of the dilation is expressed in  $[lh_5]$ .

In order to prove (iv), we primarily note that if  $x \in K[0]$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\{\varepsilon x\} \in \chi(0)$ , because  $\varepsilon x \in \overline{P}$  holds for some  $\overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}$ . If

$$Q_{\varepsilon} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \ge \varepsilon > 0 \}$$

is an arbitrary perspective of the origin of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$E_x(Q_{\varepsilon}) = \{\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon x : \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \ge 1\} \subseteq \overline{P}$$

since  $\overline{P}$  is extensive.

Finally, for (v), let us consider  $Q_{\varepsilon} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \geq \varepsilon > 0\} \in \sigma(0)$  and  $P \in \chi(0)$ . It is easy to see that

$$M(Q_{\varepsilon}, P) = \{\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon x : \lambda \ge \varepsilon, \ \varepsilon x \in \varepsilon P\} = e(\varepsilon P) \subseteq \varepsilon \overline{P}$$

holds for some  $\overline{P} \in \mathcal{B}$ . According to  $[lh_5]$ ,  $\varepsilon \overline{P} \in \chi(0)$ , hence the multiplication M is discrete at (0,0).

**Remark 11** The conditions in Definition 2 are formulated with the aim to allow a very detailed analysis, but they are not independent. For example, if  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\{\lambda\} \in \sigma(0)$ , and for arbitrary  $P \in \chi(0)$  we have

$$D_{\lambda}(P) = M(\{\lambda\}, P),$$

hence (v) implies (iii). Similarly, if  $x \in K[0]$ , then  $\{x\} \in \chi(0)$ , and for all  $Q \in \sigma(0)$  it follows that

$$E_x(Q) = M(Q, \{x\}),$$

i.e. (v) implies (iv).

On the other hand, (i) is essential in obtaining (1), i.e. even in the definition of  $\chi$ , but we cannot deduce it from (ii). In fact,  $\{x\} \in \chi(0)$  holds if and only if  $x \in K[0]$ , hence the discreteness of A at (0,0) involves only particular elements  $x \in W$ , while in (i) we need x to be arbitrary.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bălan Trandafir, Generalizing the Minkowskian space-time, to appear in Stud. Cerc. Mat., Tom 44 (1992)
- [2] Bourbaki Nicolas, Éléments de Mathématique, Première Partie, Livre III, Topologie Générale, Hermann, Paris.