smeftFR – Automatising calculations in the SMEFT Lampros Trifyllis, University of Ioannina, Greece

l.trifyllis@uoi.gr

The SM as an EFT

There are two general approaches in the search for physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. One is to search directly for new particles and forces and the other is to look for deviations from the SM predictions in the experimental data. Currently no new particles have been discovered, and the SM predictions are in good agreement with the experimental data, which creates the need of high-precision computations in a UV model independent framework. The use of the Effective Field Theory (EFT) approach seems to fit perfectly in this situation, since we can use EFT to systematically parameterise corrections to the theoretical SM predictions.

The EFT description of the SM, abbreviated as SMEFT, extends the (renormalisable) SM Lagrangian with all independent operators with dimension greater than 4 (effective operators) without introducing any new fields or symmetries. The most general SMEFT Lagrangian can be schematically presented as gauge fixing, by choosing between unitary or R_{ξ} -gauges. The derived Lagrangian in the mass basis can be exported in various formats supported by FeynRules, such as UFO, FeynArts, etc. Initialisation of numerical values of d = 6 Wilson coefficients used by smeftFR is interfaced to WCxf format. The package also includes a dedicated LATEX generator, allowing to print the result in human-readable form. Further options allow the user to treat neutrino fields as massless Weyl or (in the case of non-vanishing dimension-5 operator) as massive Majorana fermions.

Feynman rules are calculated first in FeynRules format and are expressed in terms of physical SM fields and canonically normalised Goldstone and ghost fields. Expressions for interaction vertices are analytically expanded in powers of inverse Λ , with all terms of dimension higher than 6 consistently truncated. The Feynman rules can be further exported in other formats: UFO (importable to Monte Carlo generators like MadGraph5, Sherpa, CalcHEP, Whizard), FeynArts which generates inputs for loop amplitude calculators like FeynCalc, or FormCalc, and others output types supported by FeynRules. Therefore, the user is provided with many options either for numerical or analytical calculations in the SMEFT.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i} \frac{c_{p,i}}{\Lambda^p} Q_i^{(4+p)},$$

where Λ is the typical UV scale of the new physics and $c_{p,i}$ are the dimensionless Wilson coefficients multiplying the gauge invariant effective operators $Q_i^{(4+p)}$. For practical computations we neglect the operators with dimension greater than d_{max} , and for most applications it is sufficient to consider the case $d_{max} = 6$.

SMEFT is, by construction, a highly complicated model. While only 1 operator class exists at dimension 5, at dimension 6 there are 59 baryon-number conserving and 4 baryon-number violating independent operator classes. Taking into account fermion generations, flavour structure and Hermitian conjugated operators, there are in total 2499 independent free parameters. Due to the large number and complicated structure of the new terms in the Lagrangian, theoretical calculations of physical processes within the SMEFT can be very challenging — for some examples of analytical computations at NLO in SMEFT see [4, 5] and references therein. Thus, it is important to develop methods and technical tools to automatise such calculations.

Operators in Warsaw basis

The classification of all independent $d \le 6$ operators in the SMEFT was given in ref. [1] and is referred to as the "Warsaw basis". In the following table we present all the d = 6 operator classes other than the 4-fermion ones in the Warsaw basis:

Code Flowchart

The structure of the smeftFR code is summarised in the following figure:



X^3		$arphi^6$ and $arphi^4 D^2$		$\psi^2 arphi^3$	
Q_G	$f^{ABC}G^{A u}_{\mu}G^{B ho}_{ u}G^{C\mu}_{ ho}$	Q_arphi	$(arphi^\dagger arphi)^3$	Q_{earphi}	$(arphi^{\dagger}arphi)(ar{l}_{p}e_{r}arphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{arphi\square}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\Box(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}u_{r}\widetilde{\varphi})$
Q_W	$\epsilon^{IJK} W^{I\nu}_{\mu} W^{J\rho}_{\nu} W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{arphi D}$	$\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\varphi\right)^{*}\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi\right)$	Q_{darphi}	$(arphi^\dagger arphi) (ar q_p d_r arphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\epsilon^{IJK} \widetilde{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu} W^{J\rho}_{\nu} W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$				
$X^2 arphi^2$		$\psi^2 X arphi$		$\psi^2 arphi^2 D$	
$Q_{arphi G}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiG^{A}_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$	Q_{eW}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(1)}_{arphi l}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\overline{l}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} l_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{G}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	Q_{eB}	$(ar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu u} e_r) arphi B_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi l}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^{I}_{\mu} \varphi)(\bar{l}_{p} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} l_{r})$
$Q_{arphi W}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu u}W^{I\mu u}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{arphi e}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\bar{e}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} e_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{W}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \tau^I \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(1)}_{arphi q}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\bar{q}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} q_{r})$
$Q_{arphi B}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi q}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^{I}_{\mu} \varphi)(\bar{q}_{p} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} q_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{B}}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi \widetilde{B}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} T^A d_r) \varphi G^A_{\mu u}$	$Q_{arphi u}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\bar{u}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} u_{r})$
$Q_{arphi WB}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{arphi d}$	$i(\varphi^{\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \varphi)(\bar{d}_{p} \gamma^{\mu} d_{r})$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{W}B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dB}	$(ar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu u} d_r) arphi B_{\mu u}$	$Q_{arphi u d}$	$i(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$

The quantisation and the Feynman rules for the d = 6 SMEFT in the Warsaw basis

The package smeftFR is maintained by prof. Janusz Rosiek and can be downloaded from the address www.fuw.edu.pl/smeft

The research work was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) under the HFRI



after spontaneous symmetry breaking was presented for the first time in ref. [2], in which the initial version of smeftFR was briefly introduced.

The smeftFR package

The package smeftFR is a Mathematica package designed to generate the Feynman rules for the SMEFT with operators up to d = 6. Feynman rules are generated with the use of FeynRules package, directly in the physical (mass eigenstates) basis for all fields. The complete set of interaction vertices can be derived, including all or any chosen subset of SMEFT operators. As an option, the user can also choose the

PhD Fellowship grant (Fellowship Number: 1588).

References

[1] B. Grządkowski, M. Iskrzyński, M. Misiak and J. Rosiek, JHEP 10 (2010) 085
[2] A. Dedes, W. Materkowska, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek, and K. Suxho, JHEP 06 (2017) 143
[3] A. Dedes, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek, K. Suxho and L. Trifyllis, Comput. Phys. Commun. 247 (2020), 106931
[4] A. Dedes, M. Paraskevas, J. Rosiek, K. Suxho and L. Trifyllis, JHEP 08 (2018) 103

[5] A. Dedes, K. Suxho and L. Trifyllis, JHEP **06** (2019), 115